

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.300 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6644 of 2019

-
-
1. Mohammad Tohid Ahsan Son of Late Mohammad Nabab Hasan, Resident of Village- Aranda Police Station - M.H.Nagar, District- Siwan.
 2. Sarfuddin Ahmad, Son of Late Mohammad Yusuf, Resident of Village- Aranda Police Station - M.H.Nagar, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Homes, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Siwan (Sadar), Siwan.
6. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms (D.C.L.R.), Siwan.
7. The Circle Officer, Circle - Hasanpura, District - Siwan.
8. The Block Development Officer, Hasanpura Block, Hasanpura, District- Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Anisur Rahman, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ebadur Rahman, AC to AAG 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR)

Date : 19-06-2026

Re.: I.A. No. 1 of 2024

The present Interlocutory Application has been filed
for condoning the delay in filing the civil review application.



2. In view of the submissions and the grounds raised in the Interlocutory Application, the same is hereby allowed. The delay in filing the civil review application stands condoned.

Re.: C.Rev. No. 300 of 2024

3. Heard Mr. Md. Anisur Rahman, learned counsel for the review petitioners and Mr. Ebadur Rahman, learned counsel for the State.

4. The present application has been filed seeking review of the order dated 13.02.2024 passed in CWJC No. 6644 of 2019 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Learned Advocate for the review petitioners submitted that the date on which the order dated 13.02.2024 came to be passed on account of certain unavoidable circumstances, he could not appear and in his absence the order has been passed, based upon the averments made in the counter affidavit, which is not correct.

6. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State submits that non-appearance of the petitioners or their counsel cannot be a ground to review the order.

7. In view of the aforesaid facts and considering the settled position that non-appearance of a counsel or on account of his laches, it cannot be a ground to review an order, unless it



resulted in grave miscarriage of justice. All the more, the petitioners failed to show any error apparent on the face of record.

8. Accordingly, the present review application stands dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	19 .06.2026
Transmission Date	

