IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69345 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011009112026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2026 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

==========

Shashikant Kunwar Son of Ajay Kunwar @ Ajay Kuar @ Nanhu Kuar Resident of Village - Kishunpur, P.S.- Jalalpur, District - Saran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Kant, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, A.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Anjani Parashar, Advocate
Ms. Tooba Hera, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the informant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 206 of 2026 lodged on 27.05.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S, 2023, pending in the Court of learned A.C.J.M VIII, Saran, Chapra.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant was sleeping at his doorstep when attackers suddenly struck him on the head, causing him to become semi-conscious. It is further alleged that the assailants continued to attack him with sticks, firearms, and knives with the intention to kill him, causing severe injuries to his head and leg. It is also alleged that on hearing the noise, the

WEB COPY

informant's wife arrived, confronted the attackers, and identified two individuals— the petitioner and Ajay Kumar @ Lulu Kunwar— before the group fled on a motorcycle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2026 and the charge-sheet has been submitted. The counsel lastly submits that there is general, omnibus and vague allegations against the petitioner.

5. The learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and perused the case-diary along with the injury report which corroborates the grievous nature of the assault and taking into considerations the severity of the injuries sustained by the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Alok Kumar, J)

smriti/-

U			

WEB COPY