

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18707 of 2025

=====

Moti Singh S/o Vajir Singh, Resident of Ward No.2, Near Shiv Mandir,
Surumpur, P.O- Ghustawan, P.S.- Silao, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Nalanda Division, Biharsharif.
4. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms (D.C.L.R), Rajgir, District- Nalanda.
6. The Treasury Officer, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha, AAG 7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for
grant of following reliefs:-

“i) for issuance of appropriate writ/writs, direction/directions order/orders preferably in the nature of commanding and directing the Respondents authorities concerned for refund of Rupee 1,43,000/- alongwith statutory interest which by deposited by the petitioner named above for a piece of land under section 16(3) of Bihar Land Reforms (fixation land ceiling area and acquisition of surplus land) at 1961 which was not executed



despite of court's order.

ii) And/ or any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. In this case, the delay of 29 days in filing the writ petition stands condoned.

4. With the consent of the parties, the matter is being taken up for final hearing.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a sum of Rs. 1,43,000/- was deposited through *challan* money but as the land Demarcation Case Proceeding No. 2/2011-12 has been dropped due to Amendment Act, 2019, naturally, he sought relief/refund of the amount. However, despite best of the efforts as the respondents failed to comply the writ petition.

6. Learned State Counsel submits that a decision has to be taken by the respondent no. 4, the District Magistrate, Nalanda.

7. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of directing the respondent no. 4, (District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Nalanda) to take up the case of the petitioner in the aforesaid submission put forth by him and

(i) to refund the amount immediately as the Demarcation Case No. 2/2011-12 has been dropped pursuant to



Amendment Act, 2019.

(ii) If there is any difficulty, it has to pass a reasoned order.

8. The entire process should be completed within a period of eight weeks from the date /receipt of production of copy of this order.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ranjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

