

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69815 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Rishu Kumar @ Duldul @ Duldul Kumar Son of Late Ran Bachan Singh
Resident Of Village - Mehandiganj Mishripur Ps - Sasaram Muffassil, Dist-
Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 70004 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2025 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Pritam Kumar @ Bablu @ Bablu Kumar S/o Late Ram Bachan Singh R/o vill
- Mehandiganj, Mishripur, P.S.- Sasaram (Mufassil), Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 69815 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 70004 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. As both the bail applications arise out of the
same police station case number, and with the consent of the
parties, they are being heard together and disposed of by this
common order.



3. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sasaram Muffasil P.S. Case No.216 of 2025, dated 26.06.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 310(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

4. As per the FIR, while the informant was patrolling at village Khanda, he received information that some unknown persons with an intention to loot were beating one person, and to verify the same and take action, the informant reached the alleged place where he found the victim, who disclosed his name as Dilip Kumar. Dilip Kumar further told the informant that a four wheeler intercepted his vehicle and 6-7 persons assaulted him and snatched Rs.25,000/- from him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and their names transpired in the present case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused person, namely, Dinesh Kumar. There has been no recovery of incriminating article from the houses of the petitioners. It is further submitted that similarly situated person has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 17.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.66939 of 2025. Lastly, it is submitted



that the petitioners have four criminal antecedents.

6. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram/Successor Court in connection with Sasaram Muffasil P.S. Case No.216 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences,



failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for
cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any
criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

