IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69920 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC011001422026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2026 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

==========

1. Rajendra Pandit S/o Mahangu Pandit R/o vill- Pakariya Palat, P.S.- Madhuban, Dist- East Champaran
2. Rita Devi W/o Rajendra Pandit R/o vill- Pakariya Palat, P.S.- Madhuban, Dist- East Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhannjay Kumar II, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 30-10-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No.275 of 2026, F.I.R dated 26.07.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2), 238 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant alleged that his daughter, Amarita Kumari, was married to Anand Kumar Patel in accordance with Hindu rites and customs. At the time of marriage, he spent approximately Rs. 10 lakh, including Rs. 3 lakh in cash, a 15-gram gold chain

WEB COPY

and other ornaments. However, the accused persons allegedly demanded a four-wheeler as additional dowry and subjected his daughter to repeated torture for non-fulfilment of the demand. Despite efforts by the informant's family to resolve the dispute, the accused persons allegedly killed his daughter on 25.07.2026 and hurriedly cremated her body on 26.07.2026.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the specific allegation is against the husband of the deceased, who is already under judicial custody, while, the allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. It has further been submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State, while opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail, referring to the materials stated to have surfaced during the course of investigation, submits that the deceased was suffering from acute stomach pain and that the family members of the deceased, including the petitioners, had taken her to the hospital. However, on the way to the hospital, the deceased is said to have died.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the specific allegation is against the husband of the deceased, who is already under judicial custody, while, the

WEB COPY

allegations against these petitioners, who are father-in-law and mother-in-law, are general and omnibus in nature and the petitioners have clean antecedent. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Motihari, East Champaran, in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No.275 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

WEB COPY

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	

WEB COPY