

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71098 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-119 Year-2024 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East
Champaran

-
1. Kallu @ Aditya Singh @ Aditya Kumar S/o Late Suresh Singh @ Suresh Kumar Singh R/o Village - Dumariya, P.S - Dumariyaghat, District - East Champaran
 2. Abhishek Singh S/o Late Suresh Singh @ Dinesh Singh R/o Village - Dumariya, P.S - Dumariyaghat, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 11-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 324, 307, 379, 387, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 has antecedent of two cases and petitioner no. 2 is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that her son on 31.05.2024 at 9.00 P.M. was coming back home after selling Litchi, when he was intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioners along with 5-6 unknown accused near



the house of Punyadev and was taken to an orchard of Tej Pratap Singh, where all the accused assaulted him by iron rod, farsa and bhala with an intention to kill and snatched Rs.50,000/-, on alarm, the villagers reached when accused persons fled away from the place of occurrence, thereafter the police was informed and the injured was taken to hospital for treatment.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence nor in the FIR it is disclosed that on what basis he came to know that the occurrence was committed by the accused person. It is also submitted that informant alleges that the injured was assaulted by more than 12 accused i.e. both named and unknown but then the injured suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature and two lacerated wounds have been found. It is further submitted that had so many accused assaulted the injured in the manner as alleged in the FIR, in that event the injured would have suffered serious injury. It is next submitted that Bhanu Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 12388 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 28.03.2025 wherein the



injury of the injured was considered.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No.119 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

