IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69732 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010999952026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2024 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

==========

Shivnandan Yadav @ Chutra S/o Sheetal Yadav R/o vill - Jieuri, P.S.- Pakribarawan, Distt.- Nawada

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX S/O YY R/o vill - Jieuri, P.s.- Pakribarawan, Distt.- Nawada

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 06-11-2026 Heard Mr. Deepak Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 09.06.2024, in connection with POCSO Case No. 54 of 2024 arising out of Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 246 of 2024, F.I.R. dated 08.06.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 376, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 8, 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. Earlier the bail petition of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 05.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 301 of 2025. Thereafter, the petitioner had again moved before

WEB COPY

this Hon'ble Court for grant of regular bail in Cr. Misc. No. 952 of 2026 but the same was withdrawn vide order dated 30.01.2026.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner in in custody since 09.06.2024 and the trial is not in progress.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim has supported the case of the prosecution and she has categorically stated that the petitioner has committed rape upon her and apart from that the victim became pregnant as well as the trial is concerned, out of six chargesheeted witnesses, four witnesses have been examined.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as well as the trial is in progress, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with POCSO Case No. 54 of 2024 arising out of Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 246 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (POCSO Act), Nawada.

7. Prayer is refused.

WEB COPY

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	

WEB COPY