

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73018 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2023 Thana- KOTWALI District- Patna

Shrawan Kumar @ Sharvan Kumar Son of Sri Vijay Prasad Sharma R/o
Village - Modi, Ward no. 6, P.S. - Sultanganj, District - Bhagalpur(Bihar).
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Hon'ble High Court at Judicature at Patna through its Registrar Patna High Court, Patna.
3. The Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vinay Mistry, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha, APP
For the PHC	:	Mr. Ashhar Mustafa, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kotwali

P.S. Case No. 147 of 2023 registered for the offence under

Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is

in custody since 30.06.2025.

4. As per FIR, petitioner cheated two apprehended co-

accused persons for Rs. 10,000/- on pretext of providing job with

Patna High Court.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submitted that the entire basis of implication appears on the basis



of disclosure statement of apprehended co-accused, namely Sintu Kumar, on the basis of which, it appears that the petitioner was introduced with the vendor Asks e-Governance Services, which was working with the Digitization Cell of Patna High Court. Petitioner himself is a victim of the occurrence. It is pointed out that these statement, nowhere suggest on its face that petitioner offered any employment with Patna High Court directly against cash.

6. Arguing further, it is submitted that the allegation *prima facie*, nowhere suggest that it is a case of cheating and the persons, who appears to cheated were made co-accused in present case i.e Subhash Chandra and Sintu Kumar and, moreover, there is no allegation against petitioner to create any forged document in terms of the disclosure of the co-accused. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence and, moreover, petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

7. Mr. Ashhar Mustafa, learned counsel appearing for the Patna High Court, while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that petitioner found actively involved in the occurrence.

8. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by



taking note of fact as *prima facie* the allegation not appears convincing *qua* ingredients of cheating and impersonation, where *prima facie* the allegation to create forged document not appears available against petitioner, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner remains in custody since 30.06.2025, accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 147 of 2023, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

