

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73695 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-276 Year-2024 Thana- AURAI District- Muzaffarpur

Vijay Sah S/O Radhey Sah @ Radhe shyam Sah R/O Village- Sarhanchiya,
P.S.- Aurai, Distt.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Punam Shrivastava, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

- 3 26-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State Mr. Rabindra Kumar.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in Aurai (Muzaffarpur) P.
S. Case No.276 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 127(2), 109, 124(1), 74, 332(b),
352, 351(2), 351(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had earlier moved before this court seeking regular
bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.28750 of 2025 and the same came to
be rejected by an order dated 06.08.2025 with liberty to the
petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. It
is next submitted that charges against the petitioner stands
framed by an order dated 25.07.2025, as would manifest from
Annexure-3 to the bail application.
4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the



petitioner and submits that in the event if privilege of bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond, on which, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Aurai (Muzaffarpur) P.S. Case No.276/2024.

6. Further, one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his mother, namely, Kusmi Devi, as it has been submitted that even the father of the petitioner has been implicated in the FIR.

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

