

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69319 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2023 Thana- SIKTI District- Araria

Jabir khan S/O Safir khan Resident of Village- Perhiya, Khan Tola, Ward No.-
13, P.S.- Sikty, District- Araria Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anamul Haque, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

6 03-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Sikty P.S. Case No. 23 of 2023 for offence under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 379, 307, 504, 506 of Indian Penal Code and subsequently added section 302 of Indian Penal Code lodged on 22.01.2023 by the informant, Minni Khatoon.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that the accused persons after abusing them, resorted to assault and the allegation against this petitioner is of assaulting the informant's son, Perwez by 'farsa' while Amir Khan assaulted by rifle to the informant's sister-in-law, Mansoori Khatoon causing injury in her head. Again, allegation against Haidar Khan is of snatching Rs. 10,000/- from the pocket of the informant's son. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Earlier, the bail of the petitioner was rejected, in that background, report sought for from the learned Trial Court.



5. It has been received, according to which, charges have been framed in the matter.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though allegation of assault by 'Farsa' on the head is there, the death took place after six months.

7. Learned APP, Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh on the other hand submits that the allegation against him is of giving 'Farsa' blow on the head. Although, the death may have taken place later, the initial injury report shows that it was grievous in nature.

8. Taking into account the submissions of the parties as also the allegation that has come against the petitioner, for the present, this Court is not inclined to extend him the privilege of bail which is accordingly rejected.

9. Since the petitioner is in custody since 22.08.2024, it is expected from the Trial Court to ensure that the trial is expedited and taken to its logical conclusion preferably within a period of six months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

