

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73901 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-2025 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

Bablu Kumar Son of Arjun Prasad Resident of Village - Jagatpur Lakrahi,
P.S.- Bhadwar, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prithivi Raj Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 05-02-2026 Heard Mr. Prithivi Raj Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Nagendra Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 104 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 13, 16(1)b, 17, 18, 19, 20, 38, 29 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act and Sections 25(1-AA), 26, 35 of Arms Act and Section 3/4 of Indian Explosive Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that from the possession of this petitioner, a mobile phone was recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused, namely, Rupesh Paswan, has given his confessional statement, and on his statement, the petitioner and one Uday Kumar were apprehended and from their pockets, mobile phone was recovered. He further



submits that Rupesh Paswan has identified and has stated that they are his associates. He also submits that the entire case is based on the statement of Rupesh Paswan and nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. He also submits that even Rupesh Paswan has been granted bail by this court vide order dated 09.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 70807 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on better footing. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 04.04.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sherghati at Gaya in connection with Imamganj P.S. Case No. 104 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

