

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.127 of 2024

Surendra Kumar Pal Son of Sri Ram Kewal Pal, resident of Village Kerka Khadha, P.O. Khadha, P.S. N.T.P.C. Khira District Aurangabad, at present resident of Vikas Nagar, P.S. Mauli Jagran, P.O. Chandigarh, District-Chandigarh-160102.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Directorate of Land Acquisition, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Aurangabad.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Aurangabad.
6. The Circle Officer, Circle Nabinagar, District Aurangabad (Bihar).
7. The Chief Executive Officer, Bhartiye Rail Bijlee Company Limited it officer Khaira Main Gate P.s. N.T.P.C. Khaira District Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Adv. Mr. Vyas Kumar Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vivekanand Singh, AC to GP-18
For BRBCL (NTPC)	:	Mr. Amaresh Kumar Sinha, Adv. Mr. Vaibhav Narayan, Adv. Ms. Deeksha, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 17-03-2026 Heard Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the State.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*in the nature of Mandamus
Commanding and directing upon the
concerned Respondents to pay the
compensation to the petitioner after
following due procedure under the RTFCLA*



RR Act, 2013 for preparation of Award since in the garb of denotification in spite of taking land under physical possession and utilizing the land for Thermal Power since 2010 the compensation amount has not been paid to the petitioner and his family.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if he is allowed to approach the Collector, Aurangabad (respondent no. 3) for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned authorities who shall be taking the matter to a logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

