IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78663 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010985302026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2024 Thana- BANMANKHI District- Purnia

==========

1. Gyan Chandra Yadav @ Gyanchand Yadav @ Gyanchendra Yadav S/o Late Anup Lal Yadav Resident of Village - Pipra, Ward No. 12, P.S - Banmankhi, Distirct - Purnea
2. Sushila Devi W/o Gyan Chandra Yadav @ Gyanchand Yadav Resident of Village - Pipra, Ward No. 12, P.S - Banmankhi, Distirct - Purnea
3. Rakesh Yadav S/o Gyan Chandra Yadav @ Gyanchand Yadav Resident of Village - Pipra, Ward No. 12, P.S - Banmankhi, Distirct - Purnea
4. Mukesh Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar S/o Gyan Chandra Yadav @ Gyanchand Yadav Resident of Village - Pipra, Ward No. 12, P.S - Banmankhi, Distirct - Purnea
5. Dhananjay Yadav S/o Gyan Chandra Yadav @ Gyanchand Yadav Resident of Village - Pipra, Ward No. 12, P.S - Banmankhi, Distirct - Purnea

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application for anticipatory bail arises out of Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 302 of 2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 80(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner Nos. 3 and 4, namely, Rakesh Yadav and Mukesh

WEB COPY

Yadav, have been taken into custody, and as such the present application has become infructuous as regards petitioner Nos. 3 and 4, namely, Rakesh Yadav and Mukesh Yadav, and seeks permission to withdraw this application.

4. Prayer is allowed.

5. This application is dismissed as withdrawn with respect to petitioner Nos. 3 and 4, namely, Rakesh Yadav and Mukesh Yadav.

6. As per the allegation, the informant's niece, who happens to be the deceased in this case, was married with co-accused, Mantu Yadav, and after sometime due to family dispute, the informant's niece was alleged to have been abused and assaulted, and a demand of motorcycle was made out of which, several *Panchayti* took place. It has further been alleged that all of a sudden, on 01.08.2024, the informant received a telephonic call that his niece has died, thereafter, the informant went to the matrimonial house of the deceased/niece and saw her lying dead on the ground, the blood was oozing out from the nose and mouth, and her cloth was soaked in blood and there was mark around her neck.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 5 has submitted that the petitioners are father-in-law, mother-in-

WEB COPY

law and brother-in-law of the deceased, and there happens to be general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. It has further been submitted that the deceased was being treated since January, 2024 itself, and referring to annexure-3, it has been submitted that initially the deceased was diagnosed with Grade-I mature placenta situated under Fundo-Posteriorly which proceeded to Grade-II. It has further been submitted, referring to the impugned order, that there was an oblique faint ligature mark present over the front of neck and it has, thus, been submitted that the deceased committed suicide due to having been fed up with the treatment of her illness, moreover, the ligature mark was not complete. It has further been submitted that the husband of the deceased has not been made accused in this case. It has further been submitted that the husband of the deceased has performed marriage with the younger sister of the deceased. It has further been submitted that had the deceased been killed, the marriage would not have been performed with her younger sister. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 5 have got no criminal antecedent.

8. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

9. Heard the parties and perused the record.

WEB COPY

10. Considering the facts and circumstances as well as general nature of allegation against the petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 5, namely, Gyan Chandra Yadav, Sushila Devi and Dhananjay Yadav, let the petitioners above named, in the event of their arrest/surrender within six weeks from today in the Court below, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 1st, Purnea, in connection with Banmankhi P.S. Case No. 302 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Abdus/-

U		T	

WEB COPY