

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67641 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2020 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

=====

Ranjeet Mahto S/O Bambam Mahto Resident of Vill.- Samsa, P.S.- Nawkothi,
Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Nawkothi P.S.
Case No. 14 of 2020 registered for the offence under Section
147, 148, 149, 302 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 01.02.2023 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 43822 of 2022, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP
appearing on behalf of the State.*

*Let the defect(s), if any, be removed
within a period of four weeks from today.*

The petitioner seeks bail in



connection with Naokothi/Nowkothi P.S. Case No. 14 of 2020 registered for the offence under Section 147, 148, 149, 302 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the petitioner has antecedent of eight cases.

The petitioner is the assailant of the deceased.

In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The application for bail stands rejected.

The Court below is directed to expedite the trial.”

4. The petitioner is having antecedent of nine cases which includes cases of murder, demand of *rangdari* and attempt to murder. Most of the accused of this case have jumped bail.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the antecedent, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

7. The Court below is directed to separate the trial of the petitioner from the absconding accused and proceed with the trial.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

