

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15449 of 2025

1. Health Line, Patna having its office at 502 Gagan Apartment, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001 through its Secretary namely Awadhesh Kumar (Male) aged about 53 years, Son of Ram Bachan Singh, resident of 144, East Yogipur, Shiv Mandir, Kankarbagh, Patna, Bihar.
2. Late Kanhai Shukla Samajik Seva Sansthan, Hajipur, having its registered office at Hospital Road, Hajipur, Vaishali, Bihar- 844101 through its Secretary namely Sudhir Kumar Shukla (Male) aged about 52 years, Son of Kanhai Prasad Shukla, resident of Hospital Road, Hajipur, Vaishali, Bihar- 844101.
3. Gyan Bharti Shiksha and Prashikshan Sansthan, having its office at 1st Floor, Jagdish Lok Apartment, West Boring Canal Road, Patna- 800001 through its Chairman namely Ashok Kumar Singh (Male) aged about 55 years, Son of Chandrama Singh, resident of Flat No.- 201, Pratap Palace Apartment, Krishi Nagar, A.G. Colony, Ward No.-05, Near Krishi Nagar Shiv Mandir, Phulwari, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate cum Chairman, District Health Society, Vaishali.
3. The Civil Surgeon-cum-Member Secretary, District Health Society, Vaishali, Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate. Mr. Sourav Suman, Advocate Ms. Pragati Patra, Advocate Mr. Pranav Raj, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj, GP-5 Mr. Dharendra Kumar, AC to GP_5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

7 08-07-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition insofar as petitioner no. 1 is concerned, as petitioner no. 1 has participated in re-tender



process.

2. Permission is accorded.

3. Consequently, the writ petition, insofar as it relates to petitioner no. 1, stands dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that, so far as petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are concerned, the contractual period had already expired on 31.05.2026 and, therefore, the relief claimed in writ petition on their behalf has become infructuous.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission, the present writ petition, insofar as petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are concerned, is disposed of as having become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

7. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

veena/sujit

U			
---	--	--	--

