

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67239 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-409 Year-2023 Thana- UJIYARPUR District- Samastipur

=====

Gopal Choudhary@Gopal Kr Choudhary@Pranav Choudhary@Gopal Kr
Chaudhary@Pranav Chaudhary@Lalu @ Lallu Son of Manoj Kumar
Chaudhary @ Manoj Choudhary Resident of village - Piparpati Kewta, Police
Station - Dalsinghsarai, District - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mahendra Pratap, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S.
Case No. 409 of 2023, lodged under Sections 392 and 411 of the
I.P.C.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 18.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 54337 of 2024, which reads as under:

“Heard learned counsel for the



petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 409 of 2023, lodged under Sections 392 and 411 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner was caught while committing the loot in C.S.P. Centre. The looted articles and cash have been recovered from the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner is in custody since 01.11.2023 having twelve criminal cases pending against him, which is of similar and serious nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are in total twelve criminal antecedents of the petitioner of serious offences.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner was caught raid handed committing the loot and his criminal antecedents, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and therefore, the present bail application is hereby rejected.”

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the charges have been framed.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

7. The Superintendent of Police, Samastipur is directed to ensure the appearance of the witnesses in the trial so that the trial is not delayed.



8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Samastipur forthwith through FAX or e-mail for its compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

