

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15870 of 2025

Mithila Teachers Training College having office At and PO- Basuara, PS- Rahika, through its Secretary Imteyaz Ahmed, aged about 38 years, Male, Son of Md. Qamruzzama, Resident of Chander Senpur, PS- Town Thana, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Bihar School Examination Board, Bihar, Sinha Library Road, Patna-800017 through its Chairman.
4. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Bihar, Sinha Library Road, Patna-800017.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate Mr. Nirbhay Prashant, Advocate Ms. Shreyashi Raj, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (18)
For the Board	:	Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Sr. Advocate Ms. Kanupriya, Advocate Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate Ms. Aastha Prakash, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 10-02-2026 Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Satyabir Bharti, learned Advocate for the Bihar School Examination Board as well as learned Advocate for the State are present.

2. In deference to the order dated 09.02.2026, learned Senior Advocate for the Bihar School Examination Board (in short 'the Board') has placed a communique contained in Memo No. 35/26, dated 09.02.2026 bringing on record the schedule for



admission of the students in the Non-Government Teachers Training Institutions/Colleges.

3. Learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner, however, submits that on account of delay caused by the Board in conducting the Diploma in Elementary Education examination, the time schedule prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya Vs. The State of U.P.*, reported in, (2013) 2 SCC 617, apparently the Sessions of the petitioner's institution got delayed.

4. Be that as it may, considering the fact that Board has come out with the communique for schedule of admission of the students, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the present writ petition. Accordingly, the same stands consigned.

5. However, it is expected that in future, the respondent Board shall adhere to the time schedule prescribed by the by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya* (supra).

6. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

