

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 67397 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-292 Year-2024 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

Purushottam Kumar @ Purshottam Kumar son of Late Sone Lal Paswan
Resident of village- Bagwara Ward No 8, Police Station -Shinghol, District
-Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvottam Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Begusarai Town
P.S. Case No. 292 of 2024 registered for the offence under
Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 22.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 75223 of 2024, which reads as under:

*“Heard the learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Begusarai Town P.S. Case No.
292 of 2024 registered for the offence under
Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.*

3. As per the prosecution case, the



informant namely Shiv Kumar, Cashier of Ujjivan Bank, Begusarai alleged that the petitioner came to his bank to open an account on behalf of his brother. He was already operating two accounts. One in his name and another in the name of one Shobha Devi. Both the account's was put on freeze by Cyber Crime Roto, Calcutta.

4. The address furnished by the petitioner while opening his accounts was also found to be fake after verification. The details of the fraudulent transactions are mentioned in the case diary. The Uttar Pradesh Police and the Indore Police have also directed for freezing the account of the petitioner. Petitioner is a cyber fraud who had opened accounts on fake address and transactions were made through his account. The petitioner is in custody since 05.06.2024.

5. Considering the fact that petitioner is a cyber fraud, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed."

4. From the report of the trial Judge, it appears that the case is pending for evidence.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

