IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67775 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010975102026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2025 Thana- BALIYA District- Begusarai

==========

Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Mahto Son of Rajo Mahto Resident Of Village - Hussaina Diyara, P.S.- Ballia, District- Begusarai.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Kishor Poddar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 18-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ballia P.S. Case No. 98 of 2025 dated 30.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2) and 96 of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, the petitioner had enticed away the minor victim daughter of the informant for the purpose of marriage, when both the victim and the petitioner used to talk on mobile to each other since three to four months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted, referring to the statement of the victim

WEB COPY

recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, which was called for by order dated 23.09.2026, in which the victim has stated that she was having love affair with this petitioner, while her mother was trying to perform her marriage with another person after taking money, which was not acceptable to her and she, without telling anybody, joined the company of the petitioner and fled away to Punjab and got married, when she came to know about the case having been lodged against the petitioner, both came back and she expressed her desire to live with the petitioner, who happens to be her husband. It has further been submitted that there is no element of 'enticing away' or 'taking away' against the petitioner and she joined the company of of the petitioner on her own sweet will, when she was aggrieved by the conduct of her mother. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be

WEB COPY

enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Begusarai, in connection with Ballia P.S. Case No. 98 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Aarti/-

U		T	

WEB COPY