IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73286 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010974812026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2022 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

==========

Bharat Thakur @ Bharat Kumar Thakur S/o Moti Thakur Resident of Village and P.S.- Kaluahi, District- Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Alias Ashok Karn, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad,APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 04-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 342, 354, 354(D), 504, 509, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that about an year ago petitioner along with her brother came to her house and petitioner clicked photographs of her daughter and also obtained her mobile number and returned back to his house, further the petitioner thereafter started making call to her daughter and even abused her as she was

WEB COPY

refusing to marry him, it is further alleged that informant got the mobile switched off thereafter, the petitioner started making called to her husband and son and threatened them he would abduct her daughter, further the informant disclosed about the occurrence to her brother who went to the house of the petitioner, but then petitioner along with the parents confined him and assaulted him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant does not allege that any photograph of the daughter of the informant was made viral, it is further submitted that petitioner and daughter of the informant were in a relationship which was being objected by the informant and her family, as such, the instant false case came to be instituted, it is also submitted that all sections are bailable except Section 354 of the IPC, but then in the nature of the allegation as alleged prima facie no offence under Section 354 of the IPC is made out as the informant does not allege that it was her daughter who complain about the acts of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for

WEB COPY

anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Basopatti P.S. Case No. 180 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY