

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68941 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-492 Year-2024 Thana- KONCH District- Gaya

GAYA PASWAN Son of Late Ram Prakash Paswan @ Ram Pravesh Paswan
Resident of Village - Konch, P.S.- Konch, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ramakant Singh
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ramchandra Sahni
For the Informant	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-02-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, the learned Senior

counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State and

Mr. Shailesh Kumar, the learned counsel for the informant.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. The
petitioner seeks bail in connection with Konch P.S. Case No.
492 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 191(2),
190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 125, 352 and 103 of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 23.04.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 21177 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

Heard learned counsel for the
petitioners; learned APP for the State and
learned counsel for the informant.



2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Konch P.S. Case No. 492 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 125, 352 and 103 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and other persons are said to have brutally assaulted the deceased. The deceased sustained number of injuries and died on the next day of the occurrence.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners is not named in the FIR. In the FIR, few accused persons are named and rest of the accused persons are not named. During investigation, the name of the petitioners have come. The petitioners are in custody since 21.11.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail and has submitted that the accused persons have brutally killed the deceased after inflicting number of injuries.

6. Learned counsel for the informant further submits that he will examine all the witnesses on the date fixed and he will not delay the trial.

7. Considering the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

9. If the trial is delayed by the prosecution, the petitioners may renew their prayer for bail.

4. The Report of the Trial Court says that police papers are to be supplied. The learned counsel for the informant submits that the charges have been framed and the witnesses are



to be examined in this case on the next date of hearing i.e., on 10.03.2026.

5. This Court finds no ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

6. The District and Additional Sessions Judge-19, Gaya/concerned Trial Judge is directed to expedite the trial and he will not grant unnecessary adjournments in this case.

7. If the prosecution delays the trial, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Principal District & Sessions Judge, Gaya through FAX for its compliance forthwith.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

