

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66979 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-298 Year-2023 Thana- NAWANAGAR District- Buxar

=====

Pramod Kumar, Son of Ramjeet Singh Resident of Village - Kirani, P.S.-
Nawanagar, District - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Pallavi Kumari, Wife of Pramod Kumar R/o village - Kirani, P.S.-
Nawanagar, District - Buxar, Present Address - D/o Late Sanjay Singh, R/o
Village - Nasariganj, P.S.- Nasariganj, District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms.Dimpal Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Sanjay Kumar
		Mr.Santosh Chandra Bhaskar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 02-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of

the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his

arrest in Nawanagar P. S. Case No.298 of 2023 registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 498(A), 504,

506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the D.

P. Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner, being husband, has been falsely implicated in the

instant case by the opposite party no.2, on which the learned

counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no.2 submits



that for non-fulfilment of dowry demand the opposite party no.2 was assaulted, abused and ousted from the house. It is also submitted that the case was taken up on 24.03.2026, when a specific submission was made on behalf of the opposite party no.2 that she is willing to restitute her conjugal rights, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner based on submission has submitted that even petitioner is willing to keep the opposite party no.2 with honour and dignity and he will go and fetch the opposite party no.2 back to her parental home on 31.03.2026, but then, the petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court did not come to fetch the opposite party no.2 back to her matrimonial home, which amply demonstrates that petitioner does not intend to restitute his conjugal rights and only for the purposes of bail, a false submission was made.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner is not in a position to rebut the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no.2.

5. At this stage, the learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no.2 submits one can well imagine the plight of the opposite party no.2 that how she is sustaining herself and the child in absence of any support from the petitioner including financial support.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no.2, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

