IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73694 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010970122026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2026 Thana- ANDHRATHARHI District- Madhubani

==========

1. Farhat Ara W/o Atikur Rahman Resident of Village- Gidarganj, P.S.- Andharthadhi, District- Madhubani
2. Atikur Rahman @ Munna S/o Late Abdul Haque Resident of Village- Gidarganj, P.S.- Andharthadhi, District- Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2026 At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this application with regard to petitioner no.2-Atikur Rahman @ Munna.

2. Permission is accorded.

3. Accordingly, this application is dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Now, this application survives only for petitioner no.1.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner no.1 and learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. The petitioner no.1 apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

WEB COPY

7. It is alleged that on 02.01.2024, there was a commotion that Md. Paigam @ Picha's throat has been slitted with a knife. When the informant reached at the place of occurrence, he saw that petitioner no.1 and others were escaping.

8. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner no.1 that the narration of the facts in the F.I.R. itself would indicate that there are general and omnibus allegations against the present petitioner, who happens to be one of the persons who was escaping from the place of occurrence. No specific allegation has been levelled against the petitioner no.1. As a matter of fact, petitioner no.1 and the informant are *Gotiyas* and implication of the petitioner is on account of land dispute. Further, the injury sustained by the victim is simple in nature as would be apparent from the bail rejection order itself. Petitioner is a lady and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

9. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

10. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the general and omnibus nature of allegation and the injury being simple in nature, let the above named petitioner no.1, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below

WEB COPY

within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Andhrathadhi P.S. Case No. 04 of 2026, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, subject to further conditions that:

(i) One of the bailors would be family members/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner would cooperate investigation/trial.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY