

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73990 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2024 Thana- CHAKAND District- Gaya

Krishna Kumar @ Gaya Manjhi @ Krishna Manjhi Son of Gariban Manjhi
R/o Village - Hasanpur Bhuitoli, P.S.- Chakand, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 19-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
325, 324, 307, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of three cases and the informant
alleges that her son Ajay on 04.06.2024 had gone for morning
walk when he was assaulted by the petitioner by a rod causing
injury on head. Thereafter, her son fell and petitioner dragged
him inside his house where his family members including the
other accused persons assaulted by rod causing injury on mouth,
hand and nose. It is further alleged that on account of the
injuries suffered, her son got forty stitches and his liver and
intestine also got damaged.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that Arti Kumari, daughter of the petitioner, used to go to Study Centre at Gaya where the victim (Ajay) also used to come and tease her and on the day of occurrence while she was returning, Ajay intercepted her and started harassing her and when the local people noticed, he was thrashed and assaulted. It is next submitted that a complaint case has also been instituted by the wife of the petitioner against Ajay and others being Complaint Case No. 73 of 2024. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence nor the FIR discloses that the same has been instituted based on the information provided by Ajay.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial



Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Chakand P.S. Case No. 155 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

