IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66965 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010968702026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

==========

Keshav Kumar @ Saurav Kumar S/o Naveen Gupta @ Navin Prasad Gupta R/o Village -Triveniganj, Ward No. 4, PS- Triveniganj, District - Supaul

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rameshwar Gupta S/o Sitaram Gupta R/o - Triveniganj, ward No. 05, P.S - Triveniganj, District - Supaul

... ... Opposite Parties

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard Mr. Pramod Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Despite valid service of notice, no one appeared on behalf of the O.P. No. 2.

3. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 10.06.2026 in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 291 of 2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 140(3), 143 and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

4. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the F.I.R. was lodged on the basis of a written application submitted by the informant, alleging therein that on 06.06.2025, at approximately

WEB COPY

04:30 A.M., the accused persons, namely, (1) Keshav Kumar @ Saurabh Kumar, (2) Piyush Gupta, (3) Navin Gupta, (4) Vipin Gupta along with his wife, (5) Sunita Devi, and (6) Madhukar Anand along with his wife, arrived at the doorstep of the informant in one four-wheeler vehicle and two motorcycles. It is further alleged that the accused persons forcibly abducted and kidnapped the informant's minor daughter, aged about 16 years, while she was sleeping beside the informant's mother, with the alleged intention of subjecting her to human trafficking and/or physical exploitation.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is further contended that, in fact, the victim was in love with the petitioner and, out of her own free will and volition, solemnized marriage with him. It is also submitted that, after her recovery, the victim's statement was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, wherein she categorically stated that she had solemnized marriage with the petitioner and she has not supported the case of the prosecution.

WEB COPY

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded under Section 183 of BNSS and she has also performed marriage with the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Supaul in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 291 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his

WEB COPY

criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY