

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14718 of 2023

=====

Ram Narayan Jha Son of Raj Kumar Jha, Resident of Benipatti, P.O. and P.S.-
Benipatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Cheif Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Madhubani.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Benipatti, P.O and P.S.- Benipatti, District- Madhubani.
6. The Cirlice Officer, Benipatti, P.O and P.S.- Benipatti, District- Madhubani.
7. The Project Director N.H.I.A, Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy (GP-18)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for declaration of nature and
classification to land for purpose of
computation of its rate, which could not
have been legally made merely on basis of
presumption and on the letter of officials to
the Govt. of Bihar not on the basis of*



verification of its actual use as per the provision under the statutes being the same is raiyati land, which is situated besides 'Bharatmala Project', Section-2 of Package-1 (Shaharghat to Rahika Section) NH-227J within the district of Madhubani, which acquired the status of residential as well as commercial by virtue of the passage of time but the steps were taken to acquire the land by authorities without paying proper compensation to them and others, whose claim stands on same and similar footings in larger interest for providing substantial justice and for other necessary relief/reliefs to the basis of facts and circumstances of the case as stated, enumerated and discussed hereinafter.

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 4 and the learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph-08 to show that the petitioner has remedy of moving before the Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Authority henceforth for short ('the LARRA')



under section 64 of the Right to Fair Compensation and the Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is ready to move before the appropriate authority in next four weeks but the request is that the same be expedited.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned authority ('the LARRA') and if it is filed in next four weeks, this Court expects early hearing and disposal of the case.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/S. Prasad

U			
---	--	--	--

