IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67351 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010967602026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

==========

Bharat Singh @ Chandra Shekhar S/o Amrendar Singh @ Rangadar Singh @ Amrendra Sharma R/o vill - Seikhpura, P.s.- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Jee Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Jiya Verma, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri, Spl. P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

3 20-11-2026 Heard, Mr. Sheo Jee Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner assisted by Ms. Jiya Verma, Advocate and Mr. Rajendra Singh Shastri, learned Spl P.P. for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to make necessary correction in paragraph '4' of the bail application within the course of the day.

3. This is an application for regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 132, 109, 121(2), 3(5) of the BNS and under Section 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27, 35 of the Arms Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, a police team was constituted for catching petitioner. When the police party

WEB COPY

reached at the spot, it apprehended co-accused, Suraj Kumar, who admitted being working as the informer of the miscreants. When the police party reached near a wheat field, three miscreants including the petitioner opened fire and the police made retaliatory firing. While these three miscreants including this petitioner tried to run away from the spot, they were apprehended and from nearby places, recovery of a number of firearms and ammunition were seized.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to co-villagers' animosity. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of this petitioner and the recovery has been made at the instance of co-accused. It is submitted that the arms were recovered beneath the paddy straw and not from the petitioner's possession. Petitioner has 13 criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in seven cases. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 23.03.2025. Investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been filed in the present case.

6. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Shivam Kumar and Rohit Ram have been

WEB COPY

granted bail by different Co-ordinate Benches of this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 02.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 80488 of 2025 and vide order dated 02.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4352 of 2026 respectively.

7. Learned Spl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody as also taking into consideration the materials available on record, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Danapur/concerned court in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025, subject to following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) the petitioner shall co-operate in trial and will remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the court, he should physically appear in the court on that date.

WEB COPY

(iii) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) the trial court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the trial court shall take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail-bond shall not be delayed for the purpose of in name of verification.

(Kumar Manish, J)

Abhishek/-

U		T	

WEB COPY