

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66749 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2024 Thana- Pothia District- Katihar

Siddhant Kumar S/o Bundelal Pandit R/o Mohalla- Harda Bajar, P.S.- Harda,
District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Mukesh Kumar Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 09-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2) and 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that his daughter was married to Ajit on 1-3-2023, after marriage the accused persons including the petitioner started torturing his daughter for non-fulfillment of dowry demand of a motorcycle and Rs. 10 lakhs for purchasing land, further the victim also disclosed that Ajit is having an extra marital affairs



with Ganga (petitioner) and when the victim protested the relationship, Ajit threatened that if demand is not met, he will marry Ganga, further Ajit on 26-10- 2024 informed from an unknown number that victim died, accordingly he along with the family members reached the place of occurrence and found the dead body of the victim lying inside a room with multiple injury marks and the accused persons had fled.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence nor petitioner is named in the FIR and his name transpired during the course of investigation that he was in a relationship with the deceased. It is submitted that petitioner and the deceased were known from before, but were not in a relationship nor the FIR even remotely discloses that petitioner in any manner was involved in the occurrence. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then petitioner cannot be alleged to have abetted the offence. It is further submitted that Ganga Kumari @ Ganga had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No. 43853 of



2025 and the same was allowed by an order dated 09.02.2026.

It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case, as deceased was never in a relationship with the petitioner.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pothiya Katihar P.S. Case No. 101 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the



learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

9. **Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

