

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3209 of 2025

In
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1517 of 2025

=====

Manish Chandra Agrawal Son of Late Krishan Chandra Das, Resident of
Village-Gobhi Tola, P.S.-Kotwali, District-Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Chaitnya Prasad, the Principal Secretary,
Home Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Arvind Kumar Chaudhary, the Additional Chief Secretary, Home
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Vinay Kumar, the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Vivek Kumar, the Inspector General of Police, Bhagalpur Zone, Munger.
5. Mr. Syed Imam Masood, The Superintendent of Police, Munger.
6. Mr. Nikhil Dhanraj Nippanikar, the District Magistrate, Munger.
7. Mr. Kumar Abhishek, the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Munger.
8. Mr. AbhishekAnand, the Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Sadar, Munger.
9. Smt. Ruby Kant Kachchap, The S.H.O. Kasim Bazar PS, Munger.
10. Md. Fahimuddin, Son of Late Anwar Miya, Resident of Mohalla-Badi
Bazar, District-Munger.
11. Md. Jainul, Son of Shamshu Miya, Resident of Mohalla-Purab Sarai,
District-Munger.
12. Md. Kalam, son of Billo Miyan, Resident of Mohalla-Kaura Maidan.
13. Manish Yadav @ Manna Yadav, Son of Chulho Yadav, Resident of Mohalla-
Navtoliya, P.S.-Kasim Bazar, District-Munger.
14. Deepak Kumar, S/o Not Known, resident of Ghosi Tola, P.S. Kasim Bazar,
Munger.
15. Gautam Yadav, Son of Parmanand Yadav, resident of Mirzapur, Munger-
Jamalpur Road, Munger.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P. N. Shahi, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Shantam Kumar Singh, Advocate



Mr. Shankar Kumar Choudhary, Advocate

Mr. Sushant Pratap Singh, Advocate

Mr. Madhev Kr. Jha, Advocate

For the respondent nos. 9 to 14 : Mr. Rakesh Narayan, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 16-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent nos. 9 to 14 submits that Title Suit No. 288 of 2025 has been filed by the respondents in which notices have been issued to the petitioner.

3. Mr. P. N. Shahi, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that no notice has been received by his client.

4. Since, the petitioner has now knowledge of the Civil Suit, it is expected that he should appear in the Civil Suit so that the Civil Suit proceeds.

5. For any further protection/ direction, it is expected that the petitioner or the respondents will approach the District Court in accordance with law and if such an application is filed by either of the parties, the same shall be disposed of within one month of its filing by the District Court.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this application is disposed of.

7. It is directed that *status quo* as on today shall be



maintained, until appropriate orders of a competent Court in
accordance with law is passed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U			
---	--	--	--

