

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.3822 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2024 Thana- AANDAR District- Siwan

Ranjan Kumar Yadav @ Ranjan Kumar Son of Uma Shankar Yadav Resident
of Village - Bhawanipur, P.S. - Andar, District - Siwan.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

- 1 . The State of Bihar
2. Birendra Kumar Baitha Son of Late Jaulal Baitha R/o Village and Post -
Sanjalpur, P.S. - Andar, Dist. - Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl. PP
For informant	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Ms. Somya Janki , Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 08-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned
Spl. Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State and
learned counsel for the respondent No. 2.

2. This appeal has been preferred on behalf of the
appellant for setting aside the order dated 15.07.2025 registered
for the offences punishable under sections 302 and 34 and other
allied sections of the Indian Penal Code and sections 3(i)(r)(s) /
3(2)(v)(a) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act,
whereby the prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected.

3 . As per the prosecution case , on the alleged date and
time of occurrence , co-villager of informant Parmatma Yadav
informed the informant that his son Manish Kumar Baitha was



captive at the door of co-accused Baidnath Yadav . On this information informant came at the house of co-accused Baidnath Yadav at Bhavrajpur along with his co-villagers Parmatma Yadav and others, and then he saw that his son was tied with rope, and co-accused Baidnath Yadav, appellant No. 1 Birendra Yadav, appellant No. 2 Guddu Yadav, and Uma Yadav were assaulting his son with an iron rod and danda as a result of which son of the informant died. It is further alleged that accused persons also abused informant by caste name .

4. It is submitted on behalf of appellant that as per F.I.R. informant who claims to be eye witness to the alleged occurrence has alleged that F.I.R. named persons have committed the murder of son of informant. Appellant is not named in the F.I.R. and his name transpired during course of investigation and informant has not raised any suspicion against him. Appellant claims clean antecedent. Appellant is in custody since 24.01.2025 .

5 . Learned Spl. Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the bail appeal.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, period of custody and circumstances of the case , let the appellant, as named above be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten



thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge - 1st -cum- Special Judge , Siwan in connection with Andar P.S. Case No. 05 of 2024 .

7. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 15.07.2025 is set aside and this criminal appeal is allowed.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

