

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72176 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-595 Year-2022 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. Prwin Kumar Singh @ Praveen Kumar Singh Son of Nand Kishor Prasad Singh Resident of Village - Kakwara, P.S. - Banka, District - Banka
2. Chao Shen @ Shen Chao Son of Shen Jinqi Managing Director of Morefun Communication Pvt. Ltd., at present address Morefun Communication Private Ltd., 4th Floor, Nutan Plaza Bandar Bagicha, Dak Banglow Road, P.S. - Kotwali, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Saxena, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 595 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B, 34 of IPC.

3. The allegation against petitioners is to cheat informant by entering into an agreement with forge stamp paper where agreement was made for supplying mobile handset.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are supplier of the handset in terms of agreement and he supplied handset to the informant for long 5 years in furtherance of same agreement which now disputed by informant. It is submitted that when after supplying of handset, total amount of Rs. 78,64,078.95 becomes due against informant, with imaginary allegation the present false case was lodged to stop petitioner to proceed with the recovery of the dues as aforesaid from the informant.

5. Arguing further, it is submitted that moreover the allegation raised suggest civil dispute between the parties, where disputed agreement was acted upon for long 5 years by informant.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

7. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as the agreement which said to be disputed was acted upon by informant for long 5 years where the present allegation *prima-facie* raised only when



the demand of Rs. 78,64,078.95 as mentioned aforesaid was raised by petitioner for supplying handset to the informant, coupled with the fact that dispute primarily appears civil in nature, accordingly both above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Supaul /concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 595 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

