IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67079 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010963142026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2026 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

==========

Brajesh Ray S/O Late Dhupan Ray @ Chhupan Ray R/O Village- Uttari Dhamaun, P.S.- Shahpur Patory, District- Samastipur

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Vishwakarma, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ahmad Ali, APP
For the Informant : Ms. Shalu Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Kumari Sujata Sinha, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest in connection with Shahpur Patory P.S. Case No. 149 of 2026 lodged on 22.05.2026, for the offence punishable under Sections 105 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023, pending in the court of A.C.J.M., Shahpur Patory, Samastipur.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged against two named accused persons including the present petitioner. It has been alleged by the informant that when his father was going for agricultural work in the morning, then the

WEB COPY

petitioner who has electrified a long wire laid around the four sides (*aari*) of his agricultural filed for *janera*, due to which, the informant's father was passing through the said *aari*, and he came into contact with the said electrified wire and sustained an electric shock, fell into the field of the petitioner and died on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that the petitioner has no connection to the said incident as he was not present at the place of occurrence and it is absolutely false that the petitioner has put current in his agricultural field. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that it has been specifically alleged that death of the informant's father has been caused due to electric current which was flowing near the field of accused persons.

6. Learned APP for the State also opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that on the previous occasion, case diary has been called for and in the case diary, it

WEB COPY

has come that death of the informant's father has taken place due to electric current which was flowing near the field of the petitioner.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY