

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20413 of 2019

=====

Bharat Singh, S/o Singasan Singh, Resident of Village-Baniyachhapar, P.S.-
Phulwariya, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, The Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Saran Division at Chapra, District-Saran.
4. The Additional Collector, Gopalganj, District-Gopalganj.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Hathua, District-Gopalganj.
6. Jawahar Lal Singh, Son of Ram Brichh Singh, Resident of Village-Murar Bataraha, P.S. Phulwaria, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Bhakta, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh, AC to SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the following relief(s):

(i) For issuance of appropriate writ for quashing the order dt. 17.05.2019 (Annexure-4) Passed by the Divisional Commissioner Saran Division, is preemption 179/2018 Thereby the Revision no. Divisional Commissioner. Saran Division has pleased to drop the instant revision in the light of the Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus land (Amendment) Act. 2019

(ii) For appropriate issuance of



commanding writ/order/direction the responded Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division Chapra to dispose of the perception Revision no. 179/2008 on merit is accordance of with law and do not affect the right of the petitioner with respect to the land in dispute which details are mentioned in para-3 of this writ application vide order dt. 07.09.2006 (Annexure-2) Passed by the Additional Collector, Gopalganj in Ceiling Appeal Case no. 60 (05-06) Thereby the Additional Collector, Gopalganj has pleased to allow the appeal of the petitioner and set aside the order dt. 23.06.2001 (Annexure-1) in Ceiling Case no. 48/2000-1 passed by the DCLR, Hathua.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that with the changed circumstance/law relating to pre-emption matter, the writ petition has become infructuous. However, the amount deposited be directed to be returned.

4. Granting said liberty to get the refund, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

