IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68579 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010962382026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2026 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

==========

Niraj Patel Son of Arun Patel Resident of Village- Ramrai Singhara, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali, Bihar, Pin Code- 844126

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Subhash Patel
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

4 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Petitioner along with other accused persons are said to have killed the daughter of the informant and thrown her dead body near village pond.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, at the outset, that the present case has been lodged after five months of the occurrence, as would be evident from the narration of facts in the FIR itself. The informant has herself stated that his married daughter was kidnapped five months back by five named accused persons including the petitioner. It has also been

WEB COPY

alleged that she was physically abused and after killing her, her dead body was thrown in the pond. It is also admitted in the FIR that after the postmortem etc. everything was done, the dead body was handed over to the informant. Thereafter the present case came to be lodged. The materials collected during the course of investigation do not indicate any specific allegation against petitioner rather the statement contained in paragraph-10 and 11 of the case diary indicate that it was actually co-accused Ranjit Patel, who had fled away with the daughter of the informant and had deserted her. The postmortem report indicates that death was due to respiratory failure, caused due to asphyxia on account of drowning. The petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2026 and he has no criminal antecedent. Charge sheet has been submitted and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the informant himself has admitted that the daughter had been kidnapped five months back and there appears to be no eyewitness to the occurrence, coupled with the fact that the materials collected

WEB COPY

during the course of investigation do not disclose any tangible materials against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 224 of 2026.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	

WEB COPY