IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67162 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010962262026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-543 Year-2026 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

==========

Ramji Kumar Ram S/O Lae Yogendra Ram R/O Village- Ramchak, P.S- Marhaurah, District- Saran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

3 19-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 223, 61(2), 111, 3(5) of the BNS, Sections 10, 10(1), 11(1) of the Bihar Public Examination of Unfair Means Rule and Section 66 of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons are said to have been found in suspicious activity of committing unfair means in the examination conducted by Bihar Police Sub-Ordinate Service Commission, Patna. Two walkie-talkie charger has been recovered from the petitioner’s possession.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that name of the petitioner was disclosed by a candidate Vishwajeet Kumar Sharma, who was appearing in the examination, as such, his name featured in the FIR. It is further submitted that the walkie-talkie charger is said to have been recovered from the possession of this petitioner but as a matter of fact, he was outside the examination centre and as such, he has at best committed violation of administrative guidelines. The bluetooth device is said to have been recovered from the candidates Vishwajeet Kumar Sharma and one Amit Kumar and both these co-accused persons have been granted bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court vide order dated 30.09.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 70446 of 2026 and order dated 06.11.2026 in Cr. Misc. No. 69335 of 2026 respectively. Further, the petitioner is in custody since 30.07.2026 and charge-sheet has been submitted. As such, there is no chance of tampering any evidence. Moreover, he has no criminal antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that he

WEB COPY

himself was not a candidate in the examination and only charger of walkie-talkie was recovered from his possession, coupled with the fact that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted bail and charge-sheet has been submitted, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned In-charge, C.J.M., Chapra/concerned Court below in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 543 of 2026.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	

WEB COPY