

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67592 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-324 Year-2023 Thana- DHAKA District- East Champaran

Prabhat Kumar S/o Jaimangal Rai @ Jaimangal Yadav @ Jaymangal Prasad
Yadav R/o Village- Nonaura, P.S.- Ghorasahan, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 10-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Dhaka P.S. Case No. 324 of 2023 registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 06.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 10646 of 2025, which reads as under:

“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dhaka P.S. Case No. 324 of 2023 registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, informant runs CSP center of State Bank of India at Narkatiya and on 15.06.2023, while he was



working, four miscreants on two motorcycle came to center and snatched Rs. 32,000/- from cash counter, several Aadhar Cards and Voter ID cards and thereafter they fled away giving him threatening him to face dire consequences.

4. The name of the petitioner has come in the self inculpatory statement of co-accused Kunal Kumar from whom the Aadhar Card of the informant was recovered.

5. In view of the fact that the name of the petitioner has surfaced in the self-inculpatory statement of the co-accused, this application, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

7. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest.”

4. From the report, it appears that the charges have been framed.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

