

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68139 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2022 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

Jiwachh Sah @ Chhiwachh Sah S/o Bahadur Sah R/o Village- Bandih Asli,
P.S.- Shahpur Patory, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Shahpur Patory P.S. Case No. 243 of 2022, instituted for the
offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 354,
504, 506, 307, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court
vide order dated 23.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73144 of
2024 taking into consideration the specific accusation against
the petitioner.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 07.06.2024 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent. It is next submitted that charge has already been framed against the petitioner on 07.08.2025. It is further submitted that other co-accused has been granted regular bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.04.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73893 of 2022.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner.

7. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of five months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of five months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

