

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19756 of 2019**

1. Sanjeev Kumar, son of Gorelal Singh, Resident of Village- Bihat, Ward No. 15, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.
2. Sita Ram Singh, son of Late balo Singh, Resident of Village- Bihat, Sadanandpur Tola, Ward No. 15, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.
3. Ranjeet Kumar, son of Late Ram Sagar Singh, Resident of Village- Bihat, Tola Maksaspur, Ward No. 15, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.
4. Kapeeldeo Bhagat, son of Late Ragho Bhagat, Resident of Village- Habaspur, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.
5. Jagdis Bhagat, son of Late Snehi Bhagat, Resident of Village- Habaspur, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.
6. Uday Bhushan, son of Late Jagdish Prasad, Resident of Village- Pupraur, Police Station- Barauni, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Land Acquisition Directorate, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger.
4. The Secretary, Industries Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
6. The Land Acquisition Officer, Begusarai.
7. The Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (B.I.A.D.A.), Patna through its Secretary, Patna.
8. The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (B.I.A.D.A.), Bihar, Patna.
9. The Executive Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (B.I.A.D.A.), Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                              |
|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Amar Nath Singh, Advocate |
| For the BIADA        | : | Mrs. Binita Singh, Advocate  |
| For the State        | : | AAG-12                       |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      13-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Amar Nath Singh, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioners and the State as also the BIADA.



2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions to the respondents to declare the acquisition of land of the petitioners and others similarly situated persons under Land Acquisition Proceeding No. 2/6 of 1995-1996 lapsed as per provisions contained in Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (For short the Act of 2013) as the possession has not been taken and to further restrained the respondents to take forceful possession over the lands in question against the provisions of law and for any other order/orders, direction/directions as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the mentioned facts and circumstances of this case.*

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the



BIADA and learned counsel for the petitioners submit that in view of the statement made in paragraph-11 wherein the order of the **Hon'ble Apex Court** in the case of **Indore Development Authority vs Manohar Lal & Ors.** reported in **(2020)8 SCC 129** wherein it has been observed that even though actual possession after the acquisition has not been taken over the by the authority, if the compensation has been paid, the proceeding of acquisition would not lapse under section **24(2) of the 2013 Act**, he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

