IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67510 of 2026
CNR No. BRHC010953592026
Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2025 Thana- KEWATI District- Darbhanga

==========

Bipin Paswan Son of Late Gannu Paswan Resident of Village - Belmohan, P.S.- Phulparas, District – Madhubani ... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar ... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shalin Kumar, Adv
: Mr. Virendra Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Keoti P.S. Case No.95/2025 registered for the offence under Sections 3 and 4 of the Explosive Act.

3. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and is in custody since 25.06.2026.

4. As per FIR, five *sutli* bombs kept in a bucket under water in the house of one Raghuvansh Singh, where govt. teachers were residing as tenants and as suspicion was raised by the informant that the unknown persons, who allegedly committed loot during the intervening night of 01.05.2025, somewhere between 8-10 PM for which Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025 was lodged for the offence punishable under Section 310(2) of the BNS kept said bombs during committing offence, but could not explode for any of the reason.

WEB COPY

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner implicated with Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025 only on the basis of suspicion as his name transpired during the course of investigation out of confessional statement of co-accused person. It is pointed out that even the basis of FIR is founded upon suspicion raised by the informant saying that bombs kept in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025 and therefore, no separate FIR was required to be lodged. It is further submitted that in Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025, petitioner is on bail. It is also submitted that petitioner was not put on TIP either in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025 or in connection with present case.

6. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Explaining criminal antecedent it is submitted that petitioner found involved in nine more criminal cases, where he is on bail and in maximum of the cases his named transpired either on the basis of confessional statement or on the basis of suspicion as raised in the present case. It is submitted that merely on the ground of criminal antecedents prayer of bail of petitioner should not ordinarily be rejected, if

WEB COPY

merit of this case appears otherwise in favour of petitioner. In support of his submission learned counsel relied upon the legal report of Hon'ble Supreme Court as available through **Prabhakar Tewari Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Another, [(2020) 11 SCC 648]**.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as *prima-facie* implication of this petitioner with present crime in question arising out of suspicion as raised by informant upon involvement of this petitioner in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 124/2025, where he is on bail, coupled with fact as investigation of this case has already completed, wherein petitioner remains in custody since 25.06.2026, accordingly petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Keoti P.S. Case No.95/2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IX, Darbhanga/concerned court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY