

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2022 Thana- DHORAIYA District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences u/s 341, 323, 307, 379, 504 and 34 of I.P.C.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and informant alleges that on 10.07.2022 at about 8.00 hrs, while he was going to his house from the market along with his wife then on the way the accused persons including the petitioner intercepted them and demanded extortion of Rs.10,000/- from his wife, on objection, it is alleged that accused persons dashed the informant on ground and assaulted by an iron rod causing injury on head and also snatched Rs.10,000/- and gold chain from his



wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that it does not appear probable that in a market place, the occurrence of the nature, as alleged, would have taken place. It is also submitted that Kranti Singh had given loan to the informant and when he demanded his money back, the present false case came to be instituted but then it is fairly submitted that an altercation had taken place, in which, both side assaulted each other. It is also submitted that police during the course of investigation had given notice under Section 41(A) of Cr.P.C. to the petitioner and petitioner cooperated in the investigation, thus the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner but then charge sheet came to be submitted, as such petitioner apprehends his arrest. It is next submitted that when police during the course of investigation never felt the need of arresting the petitioner, whether it would be prudent for the Court to send the petitioner based on an order of cognizance which came to be taken based on the charge sheet submitted by the police.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dhoriya P.S. Case No.258 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

