IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65374 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010951182026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2026 Thana- SALAKHUA District- Saharsa

==========

Satish Kumar S/o Dashrath Rajak @ Dasrath Rajak R/o vill - Bahuarwa, ward no. 7, P.O.- Uteshra, P.S.- Salakhua, Distt.- Saharsa

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate
Ms. Kumari Samridhi Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Jaidev Raj, Advocate
Mr. Piyush Saurav, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Meena Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH

ORAL ORDER

3 05-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP on behalf of the State.

2. This is an application for grant of regular bail to the petitioner who has been made accused in connection with Salakhua P.S. Case No. 107 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(3), 318(4), 338, 336(3) and 340(2) of the B.N.S, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant who happens to be S.H.O. apprehended the petitioner stating that the petitioner has illegally extorted money in the name of the police station and compromised confidential case files. Upon searching

WEB COPY

his backpack, laptop, and pockets, police allegedly recovered eleven case diaries from various police stations, a Dell laptop, a mobile phone and records of multiple online financial transactions between the petitioner and various individuals and when questioned, the petitioner disclosed that he had taken the diaries for updating the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner was a computer typist and was hired by the police officials of different police stations for typing the case diaries for the police and that he had no further role except for typing the case diaries and when there was some alteration, the petitioner was implicated in false police case.

5. It is stated that the vide impugned order dated 24.08.2026, the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Saharsa has found it surprising that despite the recovery of case files of different cases as mentioned, the police officials have not made the investigation officers of all the cases as accused in this case. Further, there is no independent witness to the seizure list which is a violation of Section 103 of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is also stated that no person who has been

WEB COPY

involved in any case as victim or accused have alleged that the petitioner has taken money from them in the name of helping in the case. Further, the transactions as shown in the mobile phone of the petitioner are in both modes, i.e., receiving and transferring and nothing illegal can be construed from it.

7. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 03.07.2026 and having clean antecedent. Investigation has been concluded and chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner.

8. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the period of custody as also taking into consideration the materials available on record, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M/F.C., Saharsa in connection with Salakhua P.S. Case No. 107 of 2026, subject to following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) the petitioner shall co-operate in trial and will

WEB COPY

remain present on all dates personally or should be duly represented through his counsel and whenever required to be physically present by the court, he should physically appear in the court on that date.

(iii) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Kumar Manish, J)

barkha/-

U		T	

WEB COPY