IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65582 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010949802026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-629 Year-2026 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

==========

Shubham Kumar S/o Krishna Kumar Singh @ Krishn Kumar Singh R/o vill - Mithila Colony, ward no. 33, P.S.- Danapur, Distt.- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 103(1) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that there was firing between two groups of the boys as a result of which the son of the informant received gun shot injuries and succumbed to the injuries.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this

WEB COPY

case. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that from perusal of F.I.R. it will transpire that in F.I.R., altogether 16 persons are named and 15-20 persons are unnamed. It has further been submitted that during course of investigation, one Rishu has given his confessional statement and has stated that two groups of boys have gathered to settle their issue, meanwhile Jay Prakash, Veer Yadav, Bablu Yadav, Sanju Paswan and Rishu started firing and cross firing was also done. It has further been submitted that from perusal of the confessional statement of Rishu it is clear that petitioner is not the person who has made firing though he was member of that mob. Police has also recovered a country made pistol from the possession of this petitioner which was handed over to him by one Shiv Ranjan. From perusal of the material available on record, it is clear that the allegation of firing is not against this petitioner. He was only a member of mob. It has further been submitted that other accused persons have already been granted bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court vide Cr. Misc. Nos. 63245 of 2026 and 64399 of 2026. Moreover, petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 09.06.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

WEB COPY

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-05, Danapur in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 629 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	

WEB COPY