

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68223 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-415 Year-2024 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

Sipahi Sahni S/O Late Bhikhari Sahni R/O Vill.- Senuwariya, Nayaka Tola,
Ward no. 16, P.S.- Majhauria, Dist.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Umrawati Devi W/O Dashrath Sahni R/O Vill.- Senuwariya, Nayaka Tola,
Ward no. 16, P.S.- Majhauria, Dist.- West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, A.P.P. Mr. Rajdeep Kumar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 16-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Manjhaulia
P.S. Case No. 415 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 354B, 376 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code Section 4 and
8 of the POCSO Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 18.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 63260 of 2024 regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by
this Court considering the nature of accusation and the gravity of
the offence, with a liberty to renew the prayer after nine months if
the trial is not concluded.

4. In compliance of the order dated 28.11.2025, a report
dated 15.12.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been
received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that



altogether seven prosecution witnesses has been examined and prosecution evidence has been closed on 06.11.2025. The case is fixed for Defence Evidence and next date of this case is 16.12.2025. The trial is likely to be concluded next three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 26.06.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

