IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65375 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010948622026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2026 Thana- EXCISE SHERGHATI District- Gaya

==========

Suraj Kumar Son of Satendra Yadav @ Satendra Yadav Resident of Village- Dobhi P.S.- Dobhi, District- Gaya.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 29-10-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(3) and 52 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by order dated 19.09.2026, the concerned Superintendent of Police was directed to submit a report in respect of the vehilce in question.

4. The said report till date has not been submitted.

5. The Court will not wait endlessly for the report.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

WEB COPY

petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 432 litres of liquor from a Scorpio Vehicle.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession. It is further submitted that petitioner came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized vehicle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and, thus, would create evidence against himself and, hence, would get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that his driver would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who also fled from the spot.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

9. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Sherghati Excise

WEB COPY

P.S. Case No. 291 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

10. However, it is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this Court at para 3 of the anticipatory bail application in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if it is found on verification that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	

WEB COPY