IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67123 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010948572026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-465 Year-2015 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-Muzaffarpur

==========

1. Shambhu Rai Son of Late Arjun Ray Resident of Village- Narsa Panapur, P.S.- Rajepur, Dist.- East Champaran
2. Bhardul Ray Son of Sadhu Sharan Ray @ Sadhu Ray Resident of Village- Narsa Panapur, P.S.- Rajepur, Dist.- East Champaran

... ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioners seek bail in connection with Muzaffarpur Sadar P.S. Case No. 465 of 2015 dated 12.09.2015, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 395 and 397 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, a dacoity was committed in the house of the informant by twelve miscreants who took away a number of household articles along with mobile phones and also caused injury to the son of the informant on his left eye with knife. The name of the petitioners transpired during investigation for being involved in the said

WEB COPY

dacoity.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from person or possession of the petitioners and they have never been put on test identification parade. Their name came up on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused though the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged occurrence. The similarly placed co-accused persons namely Chandan, Suresh and Arun Sahni have been granted bail by a learned Single Judge of this Court *vide* order dated 23.03.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 10657 of 2017. The petitioners were not even having knowledge of institution of case against them. Learned counsel next submits that petitioners are having antecedent of once case and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioners are in custody since 04.06.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the absence of cogent material against the petitioners and also

WEB COPY

considering the submission of chargesheet against them and their period of custody, the petitioners, above-named, are directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Muzaffarpur (East) / concerned Court, in connection with **Muzaffarpur Sadar P.S. Case No. 465 of 2015**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioners.

(ii) The petitioners will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	

WEB COPY