IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69320 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010948532026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-445 Year-2025 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

==========

Ajit Kumar @ Ajit Yadav Son of Ratan Yadav @ Ratan Lal Yadav Resident of Village- Ward No. 06, Nahas Rupauli, Nahar Rupauli, P.S.- Bisfi, District- Madhuabni.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X- through S.H.O., Patliputra, P.S. Case Ni. 445 of 2025 Patliputra.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Sukriti Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.445/2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submit that the petitioner has been falsely been implicated in the instant case by the informant as he eloped with the victim. It is next submitted that petitioner and the victim were in love and they eloped and they performed their marriage.

WEB COPY

It is next submitted that Santosh Yadav along with three others had approached this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.17404 of 2026 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 27.04.2026 on the ground that the victim did not support the case of the prosecution in her statement recorded under section 183 BNSS.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that at the time when the occurrence was committed victim was a minor aged about 17 years. The said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant is rebutted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and it is submitted that though a plea is taken that the victim was a minor aged about 17 years on the date of occurrence but then the same is not substantiated by any documentary evidences. It is asserted and submitted that victim was a major. It is also submitted that even presuming what has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant to be true in that case also the victim had reached the age of discretion where she was capable of understanding the consequences of her action.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned

WEB COPY

counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner abovenamed, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.445/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY