IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66297 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010947612026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2026 Thana- MANIYARI District- Muzaffarpur

==========

Satyam Shivam @ Shivam Mishra Son of Murli Manohar Mishra Resident of village - Mahant Maniyari, P.S.- Maniyari, District - Muzaffarpur.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX Wife of Sanjeet Paswan (Mother of Victim Girl), Resident of village - Mahant Maniyari, Ward No. 07, P.S.- Maniyari, District - Muzaffarpur.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Paswan, Advocate
	:	Mr. Amar Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Md. Alam, Advocate
	:	Mr. Suraj Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Chandra Kishore, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Paswan, learned counsel for the Informant as well as Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 23.06.2026 in connection with Maniyari P.S. Case No. 166 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 28.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 65(2) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023

WEB COPY

and Section 4/6 of the POCSO Act and later on added section 3(2)(v), 3(1)(r)(w) of the SC/ST Act.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that the petitioner committed act like rape with daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated and apart from that the medical report of the victim does not support the allegation as alleged in the FIR and the petitioner is in custody since 23.06.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that the victim was recovered on 28.05.2026 and the FSL report (cloth of the victim) supports the allegation as alleged in the FIR and apart from that statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of BNSS in which she has fully supported the case of the prosecution.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in

WEB COPY

connection with Maniyari P.S. Case No. 166 of 2026, pending in the Court of Exclusive Special Court POCSO-III, Muzaffarpur.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY