

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64747 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2024 Thana- PAHARKATTA District- Kishanganj

Suleman @ Gulpar Alam S/O Safir Alam R/O Khajurbari, P.S.- Paharkatta,
Dist.- Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 06-02-2026 Heard Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, learned APP
representing the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with
S.T. No. 184 of 2024 arising out of Paharkatta P.S. Case No.
56 of 2024 for the offence punishable under sections 302 and
34 of the Indian Penal Code, lodged on 30.05.2024 by the
informant, Firoz Ansari.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that he got an information that his brother Saheb @
Kamruzamma Ansari has been killed by slitting his neck,
accordingly, the F.I.R..

4. It is to be noted that relief was granted to the



petitioner in Cr. Misc. No. 55560 of 2025 on 12.08.2025 with the condition that if he also had made confessional made statement and has criminal antecedent, the order shall become infructuous.

5. It later came to notice that he made confessional statement which was not incorporated in the bail petition accordingly, the order became infructuous. This is the second attempt.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the persons who were rounded up including this petitioner on the basis of confessional statement made by Shakil @ Md. Shakil Alam. Submission is that he has been granted relief in Cr. Misc. No. 35761 of 2025 by a coordinate Bench, the said order has been annexed with the present petition.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail submitting that earlier in the bail application false statement was made which led to his judicial custody till date.

8. Taking into account the submission of the parties as also that the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent Md. Shakil Alam as recorded above has been extended relief, he has remained in custody since 03.06.2024, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of bail.



9. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Kishanganj, in connection with S.T. No. 184 of 2024 arising out of Paharkatta P.S. Case No. 56 of 2024 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every month for six months to mark his attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

