

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19625 of 2019

=====

Girish Kumar Rao Son of Late Uma Kant Rao, Resident of 6063/6, S/Floor, Sector-D, Pocket-6, P.S.- Vasant Kunj, South West, Delhi- 110070.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, District- Banka.
3. The District Education Officer, District- Banka.
4. The District Programme Officer, District- Banka.
5. The Principal, Madhya Vidyalaya Kathchatar- Lilatari, Block- Rajin, District- Banka.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Kumar
For the State : Smt. Binita Singh (SC-28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-06-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned counsel for the respondent-State.

2. In the present writ application, the petitioner
has prayed for grant of following relief:-

*“For issuance of a Writ in the nature of a
Writ of Mandamus, or any other
appropriate Writ order, direction
commanding the Respondents, to rename
the Madhya VidyalayaKathchatar, Lilatari,
Banka, in the name of Grandfather of the
Petitioner namely Late KapuriRao, as the
land for the school was gifted in the name of*



KapuriRao, on account of which earlier the School was Kapuri Uchcha PrathamikiVidhyalay, but the Government arbitrary changed the name of the School, without any notice to the Grand Father of the Petitioner.”

3. After advancing some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if the petitioner is permitted to file a fresh representation before the District Magistrate, Banka for seeking the aforesaid relief. He further prays that if this Court permits the petitioner to file a fresh representation, then an appropriate direction be also issued to the District Magistrate, Banka, to dispose of the representation within a fixed time-frame, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

4. To the aforesaid submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State does not have any objection.

5. Considering the limited nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District Magistrate, Banka for claiming the relief, which the petitioner has prayed for in the



present writ application. Such a representation must be filed by the petitioner within one month from the date of passing of this order. If such a representation is filed, the same shall be disposed of by the District Magistrate, Banka within a period of six months from the date of filing of the representation, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

6. Needless to emphasize that the final order, which shall be passed by the District Magistrate, Banka, should be a reasoned and speaking order, and in case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief, which the petitioner would be claiming through the representation, then the same should be extended to the petitioner within a further period of six months from the date of passing of the final order.

7. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty granted, this writ application stands disposed of.

8. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

