

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66835 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2022 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Rohtas

Pankaj Singh Son of Rajeshwar singh R/o Village - Tipa , P.S. - Nauhatta,
District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate
	:	Ms. Suchitra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar No. 2, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

7 28-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 19.02.2022 in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 26 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-B)a, 26 and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 18/2/2022 at around 5.30 A.M the informant was in Nauhatta Bazar, the Son of the informant accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) gave information to the informant that he shot his wife. On aforesaid information, the informant came to his house and he saw that his daughter-in-law Jyoti Singh was lying dead



on her bed and the blood was oozing out from her head. The son of the informant accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) was standing there. He was saying that he shot his wife. The informant has further alleged that his son accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) was holding country made katta in his hand. The nephew of the informant Bijendra Singh snatched country made katta from the house of the son of the informant accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) and thereafter he kept the aforesaid country made katta there. He confined accused Pankaj Singh in the same room. The information was given to the police, upon which police officer of Nauhatta Police Station came and he apprehended accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) and also seized pistol from the bed. The informant has full faith that his son accused Pankaj Singh (petitioner) shot dead his daughter-in-law Jyoti Singh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is forged and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. It is next submitted that informant is father of the petitioner and as per the allegation in the FIR the



informant has informed the police that his son (petitioner) has informed that he has shot fire upon his wife and one country-made pistol was also recovered from the possession of the petitioner. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR it appears that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner and after that the petitioner has also confessed his guilt and stated that he has committed murder of his wife and witnesses have also supported the case of the prosecution and apart from that the medical evidence also supports the allegation as alleged in the FIR.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner supported by the medical evidence and the petitioner himself has confessed his guilt, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 26 of 2022 pending in the court of learned 14th Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram.

7. Prayer is refused.

8. However, the learned trial court is directed to



expedite and conclude the trial.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

